

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 1-04-CR-279

Plaintiff,

Hon. Richard Alan Enslen

v.

CHERYL L. ROBERTS,

**ORDER**

Defendant. /

This matter is before the Court on Defendant Cheryl L. Roberts' Motion for Copies. This Motion is more accurately construed as a Motion for Reconsideration from the Court's Order of November 14, 2006, which denied Defendant copies of transcripts without fees. (*See* Dkt. No. 63). Pursuant to Rule 7.4(a), Plaintiff must demonstrate that the Court's Order suffers from a palpable defect, and must "also show that a different disposition of the case must result from a correction thereof." W.D. MICH. LCIVR 7.4(a).

In her Motion, Defendant has failed to allege any new facts which would entitle her to relief under any theory. Since Defendant has not shown there was a palpable defect or that a different disposition of the case must result, his Motion for Reconsideration is denied. Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendant Cheryl L. Roberts' Motion for Copies (Dkt. No. 78) is **DENIED**.

DATED in Kalamazoo, MI:  
August 6, 2007

/s/ Richard Alan Enslen  
RICHARD ALAN ENSLEN  
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE